Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 9:03 PM
To: Mason; Janice L (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Flt

Dang flt still hasn't left.

I'm still keeping the option open for me to drive to fairbanks so I can take the girls with me. Just fyi

Sounds like Erika and others trying to rtn to Juneau are now stuck in ketchikan - hopefully we'll land! (Hopefully we'll take off).

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:59 PM
To: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: FlightRe: Ramras

Flippin flight still hasn't left yet.

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:56:29 2007

Subject: RE: Ramras

That must be the same one Balash found; he was waiting for the right time to reveal. I hope the reporter does something with the info. If not, maybe the time is right now for Joe to do something with it.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/2007 8:00 PM

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax

policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:58 PM
To: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Right. Wonder how helpful Joe is on things like this sometimes.

----- Original Message -----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:56:29 2007

Subject: RE: Ramras

That must be the same one Balash found; he was waiting for the right time to reveal. I hope the reporter does something with the info. If not, maybe the time is right now for Joe to do something with it.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/2007 8:00 PM

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax

policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [kris.perry@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:56 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: RE: Ramras

That must be the same one Balash found; he was waiting for the right time to reveal. I hope the reporter does something with the info. If not, maybe the time is right now for Joe to do something with it.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/2007 8:00 PM

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:21 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

I'm sure your good crew is listening to old gavel to gavel footage. What's important is focussing on aces though and moving forward w this important legislation to restore public trust and bring ak it's rightful share...I'm preaching to the choir, I know. I'm keeping an eye on the legislature. Going to meet w lyda this wk to see where she's coming from.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:00:33 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:14 PM
To: Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
Subject: Fw: Ramras

So who gave Ramras the free lunches? From one year to the next he's sure changed his tune on ppt and potentially getting gamed by industry...

Remember his testimony/questioning last year?

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

Cc: 'ftb907@yahoo.com' <ftb907@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:03:33 2007

Subject: Fw: Ramras

Jay was opposed to ppt before and threatening to closely monitor oil industry shenanigans - wonder what happened the past few months to twist all that around.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:00:33 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:04 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR)
Cc: ftb907@yahoo.com
Subject: Fw: Ramras

Jay was opposed to ppt before and threatening to closely monitor oil industry shenanigans - wonder what happened the past few months to twist all that around.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 20:00:33 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 8:01 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh man. Very good. Is there anything others can look at on the record to prove his hypocracy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 19:32:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 7:33 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Off the record...

One of our friendly reporters has been listening to the house floor sessions on ppt during the murk administration where ramras was opposed to the ppt they were voting on and disgusted by the oil lobbyists he saw marching up to the gov's office. And he vowed that if he was tricked by the industry and their lobbyists when it came to this important tax policy then he would come back to jnu angry and pounding on the table demanding answers. Now today he says he doesn't know why he's here and doesn't think there is anything wrong w ppt! What a flippin flip-flopper! What happened to the accountability in what you say and do?!? If this doesn't come out in a story (which I think it will) than I'm making sure it comes out in a letter to the editor.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 7:34 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR)
Cc: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)
Subject: Re: Afn

Oh baby!

I got your back on that one... he's probably really going to come after you and I'll be proud to be with you.

Ivy's got your back too. She just emailed me about Jay Bird too.

------Original Message------

From: Tom Irwin

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 21, 2007 7:29 PM

Subject: RE: Afn

Governor, I thought I should let you know that I ran into Jay in the hall. He asked how I was doing. I told him I was disappointed in him, there are statesmen and there are ugly politicians, and he is an example of an ugly politician. Sorry, he needed to hear the truth. Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: "gov.sarah@yahoo.com" <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: "Beth Leschper" <beth.leschper@alaska.gov>; "Sharon Leighow" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>

Cc: "tara.jollie@alaska.gov" <tara.jollie@alaska.gov>; "K Perry" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>; "Tom Irwin" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>; "Marty Rutherford" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>; "Mike Tibbles" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/07 7:09 PM

Subject: Afn

I look forward to proofing AFN speech tomorrow. We have to include talk of our pro-mining/pro-development agenda in rural alaska without giving false hopes to Pebble.

Please have Tom Irwin and Marty Rutherford chime in on mining issues in that speech. Thanks!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From:	aief@ptialaska.net
Sent:	Sunday, October 21, 2007 6:54 PM
To:	Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Cc:	Kim; Anna C (GOV)
Subject:	Tea Honoring First Lady of Mongolia Mrs. Onon

Honorable Sarah Palin:

I realize this is very short notice, however AIEF just found out about the Mongolian delegation's schedule from their Washington D.C. Embassy.

I just know you would enjoy meeting the members of the Board that run this Foundation and the residents from Mongolia who live in the residence operated by the Foundation. Realizing your focus on the PPT in Juneau at this time and of course the narrow calendaring window I do understand should you not be able to attend.

Two students from Mongolia have lived at the residence of Irma Scavenius, a former teacher in Anchorage who left all her property in Homer and her home in Anchorage to international educational exchange and to further understanding between Alaskans and those of other nations. I am also faxing copy of our grant/scholarship application and housing application/House Rules for your information/files.

AIEF recently funded the award winning UAA Seawolf Debate Team to travel to British Columbia in 2006 to compete in international competition as well as to Beijing, China to judge competitions in May 2007, funded a trip to Paris for Aleut Corporation members and an Anchorage Anthropologist to document Aleut masks taken from a cave on Attu Island in the late 1800's; and helped bring the Chukoma Taiko drummers to Alaska in 2005.

The Board of Directors would be honored if you could attend the Tea honoring the First Lady of Mongolia. I am, of course, following up with a written

invitation. Thank you, Governor Palin, for considering the Board's invitation on such short notice. And best of luck at the special session.

****

Alaska International Education Foundation, Inc.

is pleased to host

Tea / hors d' oeuvres at the Captain Cook Hotel for

- The First Lady of Mongolia, Mrs. Onon Tsolmon
- H.E. Tumur-Ochir, Deputy Minister of Education
- Mrs. Oyuun, Ambassador's spouse
- Mr. Dawaadash, Education Officer of the Embassy of Mongolia (a translator)
- Ms. Altan, Aide to the First Lady

Friday, October 26, 2007 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.

in the Club Room 11, Tower 1, 10th Floor

Cordially,

Ramona Duby

Alaska International Education Foundation, Inc.

2009 Wildwood Lane

Anchorage, AK 99517-1332

907.279.1380 phone

907.278.0624 fax

aief@ptialaska.net

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:08 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Offer

I think I was the one who got sued--not Marty :)

Sounds good on the email contact side of things.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 17:07:16 2007

Subject: Re: Offer

No, but we'll stay in touch via email. It sure sounded from Marty like Privileged or Perso Privileged or Perso- glad to hear your take on it.

------Original Message------

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 21, 2007 5:04 PM

Subject: Offer

By the way, if you prefer me to be in Juneau tomorrow, I could change my Guard plans. Just need to get to FAI for AWIB by 9 am Tuesday.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:07 PM
To: PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Offer

No, but we'll stay in touch via email. It sure sounded from Marty like Privileged or Pers Privileged or Perso glad to hear your take on it.

------Original Message------

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 21, 2007 5:04 PM

Subject: Offer

By the way, if you prefer me to be in Juneau tomorrow, I could change my Guard plans. Just need to get to FAI for AWIB by 9 am Tuesday.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:04 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Offer

By the way, if you prefer me to be in Juneau tomorrow, I could change my Guard plans. Just need to get to FAI for AWIB by 9 am Tuesday.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:05 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR)
Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 00:23:02

To:"Sean Parnell" <SR_Parnell@gov.state.ak.us>

Cc:"Talis Colberg" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:04 PM
To: Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:42:12

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com>]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:03 PM
To: Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 00:52:09

To:"PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Yep- heading to Juneau in a bit here.

Marty may be assuming there's a different approach to Judge Troissi's ruling, so I want to make sure she knows you've already communicated with Barnhill and there's a path you're on. Tom speaks to a Chamber tomorrow about Pebble, so they're anxious to know of any movement that could/should/has been made in reaction to tomorrow's deadline re:requesting any stay.

Do you want to cc her and Tom in to our emails on this - with Talis also?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:51:32

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

No, but thank you for the reminder.

Are you doing ok?

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 16:47:09 2007

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Very good Sean.

Have you communicated this to Tom and Marty? I'm not sure they have all the info you have that led to any recent decisions.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:42:12

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> >]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com> <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com> >]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not

believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 5:00 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

That would be great. It's ok to share my email to you with Tom and Marty. I'd appreciate it not going beyond them though.

I'm on a Guard plane tomorrow going to Bielson for a change of command and I will plan to stay in FAI til Tuesday night. I'm speaking at AWIB tuesday (then attending Cabinet from FAI). Tuesday night in FAI I'm addressing economic issues at a forum.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 16:52:09 2007

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Yep- heading to Juneau in a bit here.

Marty may be assuming there's a different approach to Judge Troissi's ruling, so I want to make sure she knows you've already communicated with Barnhill and there's a path you're on. Tom speaks to a Chamber tomorrow about Pebble, so they're anxious to know of any movement that could/should/has been made in reaction to tomorrow's deadline re:requesting any stay.

Do you want to cc her and Tom in to our emails on this - with Talis also?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:51:32

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

No, but thank you for the reminder.

Are you doing ok?

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 16:47:09 2007

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Very good Sean.

Have you communicated this to Tom and Marty? I'm not sure they have all the info you have that led to any recent decisions.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:42:12

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com> >]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com>

<mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com> >]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

DISCLAIMER:

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:49 PM
To: PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Habitat move? Can we talk about this before you decide?

Oh yeah- we have to talk about it w/Tom and Marty also- they're stillevaluating along with DNR staff. No movement until a lot more discussion.

------Original Message------

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 21, 2007 4:46 PM

Subject: Habitat move? Can we talk about this before you decide?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:52 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

No, but thank you for the reminder.

Are you doing ok?

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 16:47:09 2007

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Very good Sean.

Have you communicated this to Tom and Marty? I'm not sure they have all the info you have that led to any recent decisions.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:42:12

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com>]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also

exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file

a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

DISCLAIMER:

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of Holmes Weddle & Barcott, P.C. and is confidential or privileged. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, distribute, reproduce or otherwise disclose this transmission or any of its contents. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify us immediately via e-mail or by telephone at (907) 274-0666 (Anchorage) or (206) 292-8008 (Seattle).

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:47 PM
To: PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Very good Sean.

Have you communicated this to Tom and Marty? I'm not sure they have all the info you have that led to any recent decisions.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 16:42:12

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com <mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com <mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com>]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:23 PM
To: PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00

To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM

To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:09 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Well that's the diplomatic way to say it. I got to hand it to pat though, he's handling it well. Jay didn't do himself any favors-he made himself look like a jerk (by the way "jerk" is not what I was yelling at my tv). During the at ease it looked like lyda went up to pat and apologized for ramras. I hope that was the case although I some how doubt it.

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:46:46 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's

cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend

committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM
To: Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Parnell; Sean R (GOV); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Barnhill; Michael A (LAW)
Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM

To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)

Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com]

Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM

To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)

Cc: Scott Kendall

Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the

court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:53 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR)
Subject: Re: Ramras

It's just unbelievable though that Jay chooses to be so disrespectful to Pat. And as Jay feeds Fagan and others I have to pray that people have their eyes wide open to the deception he spews.

----- Original Message -----

From: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:45:52 2007

Subject: RE: Ramras

I just lost any respect that I might have had for Jay no matter how little that was. I am struggling with the thought of telling him that after the session. Please don't let these type of people affect you. We appreciate you and Alaskans just got another example to see the difference between ugly politics and doing the job right. Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>; "Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>

Cc: "fek9wnr@yahoo.com" <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: 10/21/07 2:31 PM

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:48 PM
To: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Re: Ramras

I agree to both pts.

I don't think Jay could get more disrespectful to Pat than where he's going with his questions/comments today.

----- Original Message -----

From: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:45:16 2007

Subject: RE: Ramras

I saw that. I guess there is no end to his political grandstanding. Pat did a good job responding, don't you think? I know that no one expected you to present the bill.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>; "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/2007 2:32 PM

Subject: Fw: Ramras

Ramras on Gavel to Gavel just now:

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:47 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)
Subject: Re: Ramras

I'll bring my trough of make-up in case I end up spending the night in Ketch!

Can Jay be any more of a disrespectful yahoo in his questioning of Pat today?

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:41:52 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV) [mike.tibbles@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:45 PM
To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: RE: Ramras

I saw that. I guess there is no end to his political grandstanding. Pat did a good job responding, don't you think? I know that no one expected you to present the bill.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

To: "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>; "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>

Sent: 10/21/2007 2:32 PM

Subject: Fw: Ramras

Ramras on Gavel to Gavel just now:

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:42 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Well we look forward to seeing you if you make it to town...we're in the middle of tropical storm juneau. I'd stay up in God's country aka the mat-su if I were you :)

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:36:58 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:37 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Oh yeah- and I jsu read your letter online @ Frontiersman!!!! I'm cheering. Todd's cheering.

I'll be in Juneau tonight. But not to hold Jay Bird-Nose Ramras' hand. What a doof.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:35:16 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:35 PM
To: ftb907@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Ramras

It would be like Kaia going out with one of your buddies a decade from now. That's how warped Ramras is in his personal life.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:30:49 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

Lol. Daughters.....yikes that's Kaia in a few yrs.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:31:08

To:"Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>,"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>

Cc:fek9wnr@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:35 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

You're handling it very graciously-if I was pat I would have jumped over the table and strangled him. Who does jay think he is?!? He needs to shut his mouth, set his ego aside, and try and learn something. And another thing, if he's so upset about being in jnu during his "offseason" he shouldn't be a legislator!

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frank Bailey [ftb907@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:31 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Re: Ramras

Lol. Daughters.....yikes that's Kaia in a few yrs.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:31:08

To:"Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>,"Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)" <patrick.galvin@alaska.gov>

Cc:fek9wnr@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:33 PM
To: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV)
Subject: Fw: Ramras

Ramras on Gavel to Gavel just now:

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Cc: 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com' <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:31:08 2007

Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:31 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
Cc: fek9wnr@yahoo.com
Subject: Re: Ramras

I heard it.

Sheeesh... He's basically just announced I'm not around HIM enough! Doesn't he know why? I have two teenaged daughters, everyone knows gotta' keep the young 'uns away from the likes of Jay.

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)

Sent: Sun Oct 21 14:27:39 2007

Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [ivy.frye@alaska.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 2:28 PM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
Subject: Ramras

Pat,

Your comments to ramras were right on-he was way out of line. You're right, we're here to restore public confidence and the governor has to run the entire state, not attend committee hearings to make jay feel better. Kee up the good work. I'm here to help you guys anyway I can!

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 1:16 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR)
Cc: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Gavel to Gavel

I'm watching Tom on right now.

He rocks.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]

Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:46 PM

To: Sarah Palin

Subject: Habitat move? Can we talk about this before you decide?

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 4:42 PM
To: Sarah Palin
Cc: Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Governor,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sean

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sun 10/21/2007 4:23 PM
To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)
Subject: Fw: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----
From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 15:19:00
To:ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted Talis

-----Original Message-----
From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 21, 2007 3:02 PM
To: Colberg, Talis J (LAW)
Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Parnell, Sean R (GOV); Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)
Subject: Re: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----
From: "Colberg, Talis J (LAW)" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 14:51:39
To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Cc:"Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>,"Parnell, Sean R (GOV)" <sean.parnell@alaska.gov>,"Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>,"Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>,"Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)" <mike.barnhill@alaska.gov>
Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Governor Palin,

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Talis

From: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 7:33 PM
To: Tillery, Craig J (LAW); Colberg, Talis J (LAW)
Subject: FW: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Mike B.

From: Timothy A. McKeever [mailto:Tmckeever@hwb-law.com]
Sent: Thu 10/18/2007 1:39 PM
To: Barnhill, Michael A (LAW)
Cc: Scott Kendall
Subject: Holman et. al. v Parnell

Dear Mike,

I am taking the liberty of e-mailing you because today is a state holiday and I assume your support staff is not working and so I could not be able to call you. I drafted most of this message before getting the order just issued by the court which orders us to do what I suggest below.

This message is motivated by the filing you faxed to us at 4:08 pm last evening. As you can imagine my clients are very disappointed that the Lieutenant Governor has decided that he cannot comply with the court order.

My clients believe that by a) delaying the decision to not certify the initiative until virtually the last day of the 60 days allowed, b) refusing to agree to our proposed time for filing his answer, c) seeking additional time to respond to our motion for summary judgment (which we agreed to) d) taking virtually the entire 60 days to address 07WTR2, e) the ongoing delay in addressing the validity of 07WTR3 which was revised to accommodate the states concerns, f) the failure of the state to object to the five day period we had in our proposed order g) the failure to take any action for two days after the court order was received, and h) the insistence that the petitions cannot be made available until the 29th of this month at the earliest, that the Lt. Governor has deliberately and intentionally delayed any approval of a ballot measure which might be related to large scale mining in Alaska for policy or political reasons. They feel he is trying to keep the people from having the opportunity to vote on such a measure. And they feel at this point that he has admitted he is in contempt of court. They have instructed us to seek an order to show cause and to compel sanctions. They are also exploring other methods to communicate their considerable frustration.

Given the dates on the Division of Elections web page showing that petition books for numerous other initiatives have been provided in much less than 17 days they do not believe that 17 days should be necessary. The specific procedures outlined in the statutes and regulations do not require that much time. The drafting of a summary and the preparation of a cost estimate should not take long. While petition books have to be printed we believe that would it would not take 5 calendar days to get those printed. Indeed experts in printing we have consulted with think that numerous print shops could produce at least 100 booklets overnight. And I note that the rules permit the applicants to pay for additional booklets to be printed if they think the Lt. Gov. has not provided enough.

I am contacting you to explore the possibility of resolving this short of us asking the court to hold Mr.. Parnell in contempt and that significant fines and other sanctions be imposed.

It would seem that with a few hours of concentrated effort by the Division of Elections, the summary and the cost estimate could be completed. The layout of the booklet should take only a few more hours. Printing could be started and the first several hundred booklets should be available within in a day after that. Alternatively perhaps agreement could be reached on interim booklets that could be copied on copy machines and could be used to gather signatures before the final booklets are printed. It does not seem that we need to engage in a huge legal battle if a bit of cooperation could resolve the timing issues. It may require a bit of flexibility on the part of the state and officials of the Division of Elections. But it does not appear that there are specific time frames in statute or other similar legal requirements that must be observed at the risk of challenges from others.

In short I urge you in the strongest possible terms to get with your client and his staff in the Division of Elections and come up with a way that can accelerate this process and avoid the delay which you are now proposing and which is unacceptable to my clients. My clients may even be willing to help cover some of the costs if it means they do not have to pay me to pursue expensive litigation against the state.

Can I hear from you no later than 10 am tomorrow? If we do not have a solution in place by noon tomorrow or very significant progress towards a solution, I am instructed to file a motion for order to show cause and to ask for significant financial and other sanctions.